UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CRIMINAL MINUTES - GENERAL					
Case No. OR 1F-354-15 Title United States v. Varier R	Date August 8, 2018				
Title United States v. Varier R	sheng				
Present: The Honorable Steve Kim, U.S. Magistrate Judge					
Connie Lee	n/a				
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder				
Attorneys Present for Government:	Attorneys Present for Defendant:				
n/a	n/a				
Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER OF DETENTION					
The Court conducted a detention hearing on:					
The motion of the Government [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)] in a case allegedly involving: a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence of ten or more years.					
The motion of the Government or on the Court's own motion [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2)] in a case allegedly involving: a serious risk that the defendant will flee.					
The Court concludes that the Government is entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)].					
The Court finds that the defendant \square has \square has not rebutted the presumption under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) by sufficient evidence to the contrary, but still warrants detention after consideration of the presumption along with the other factors discussed below.					
* * *					
the appearance of the defendant the evidence).	ination of conditions will reasonably assure: as required (as proven by a preponderance of				
the safety of any person or the coevidence).	ommunity (as proven by clear and convincing				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CRIMINAL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	<u>C</u> 1	218-354-DSF-6	Date	August 8, 2018	
Title	United	States v. Xavex Bahera			
The Court bases its findings (in addition to any made on the record at the hearing) on the following grounds:					
As t	o risk of	non-appearance: Acient			
	X	Lack of bail resources or financially responsi	ible sureti	es	
	X	Refusal to interview with Pretrial Services No stable employment			
		Previous failure to appear or violations of pro-	obation, p	arole, or release	
		Ties to foreign countries	, .	,	
	X	presumption [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e	e)]		
	X X X	Weight of the evidence			
	X	Length of potential incarceration if convicted	i		
		History of alcohol or substance abuse			
		Lack of significant community or family ties	to this dis	strict	
		Lack of legal status in the United States			
		Use of alias(es) or false documents			
		Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement			
		Subject to removal or deportation after serving	ng any per	iod of incarceration	
		Reasons set forth in PTS Report adopted by C	Court	: d /a	
	Þ	Criminal activity while or	= uper	isc mease.	
As to danger to the community:					
	M	Nature of previous criminal convictions or pr	ior crimin	al history	
	X	Allegations in present charging document		·	
		History of alcohol or substance abuse			
		Already in custody on state or federal offense			
	X	United presumption [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e))]		
	X	History of violence or use of weapons			
	•	Pesane of 1			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CRIMINAL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CR18-354-DSF-6	Date August 8, 2018
Title	United States v. Xavier Bahena	
	Participation in criminal activity while on p Reasons set forth in PTS Report adopted by	-

In reaching this decision, the Court considered: (a) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device; (b) the weight of evidence against the defendant; (c) the history and characteristics of the defendant; and (d) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community. [18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)] The Court also considered the evidence presented at the hearing, the arguments of counsel, and the report and recommendation of the U.S. Pretrial Services Agency.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained until trial. The defendant will be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant will be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel. On order of a Court of the United States or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined will deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. [18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)]